#### GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH ABSTRACT

MA&UD Department - Results Framework Document (RFD) - Preparation of work plan for Municipal Commissioners - Connecting RFD to Performance appraisal - Orders Issued.

-----

MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION & URBAN DEVELOPMENT (R) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Rt.No.388 Dated: 07.03.2014 Read the following:-

1. D.o.Lr.No.323/GA(GPM&AR)/2012-13/2, Dated: 04.12.2012 from the Principal Secretary, GAD, A.P. Secretariat, Hyderabad

- 2. Government memo.No.28845/R/2012, Dated: 11.12.2012
- 3. From the C&DMA, Hyderabad Lr.Roc.No.26413/2012-D1,dt.01-05-2013.

\* \* \*

#### ORDER:-

Based on the Results Frame Work Document (RFD) prepared by the Commissioner & Director of Municipal Administration, Government have prepared a work plan for Municipal Commissioners, which is annexed to the G.O. The Work Plan components should necessarily form part of the self review. The Commissioner & Director of Municipal Administration will inform the work plan to all Commissioners so that they can prepare their strategy and drill down: set numerical objectives for various activities in the Work Plan, disaggregate activities into sub activities, connect funds and human resources to the sub-activities and prepare a Plan of Action (PoA) showing each sub-activity to be completed every fortnight, in the form a PERT Chart. The Plan of Action (PoA) should be used by the Commissioner & Director of Municipal Administration to monitor, fortnightly and assess the annual performance of Commissioners.

2. Separately, Commissioner & Director of Municipal Administration should do any independent evaluation on the information furnished by the Municipal Commissioners.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

# Dr. SAMEER SHARMA PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT & COMMISSIONER, UPA

То

The Commissioner and Director of Municipal Administration, Hyderabad.

All Municipal Commissioners through Commissioner & Director of Municipal Administration, Hyderabad.

Copy to

PS to Chief Secretary to Government, PS to Principal Secretary (UD), MA&UD PS to Principal Secretary (MA), MA&UD Sf/Sc

// FORWARDED :: BY ORDER //

**SECTION OFFICER** 

### **ANNEXURE**

## **Work Plan for Municipal Commissioners (2014-15)**

The objectives and performance norms

- 1. Clean cities and towns (25 marks),
- 2. To strengthen the financial position of the ULBs (15 marks),
- 3. To make Governance in ULBs Transparent and Accountable (20 marks),
- 4. To Facilitate Participatory and Consultative Development (10 marks),
- 5. To improve Capacities of ULB Functionaries and Stakeholders (10 marks),
- 6. To improve civic infrastructure (10 marks),
- 7. Inclusive Development (10 marks),
- 8. Other,
- 9. Other, and
- 10. Other.

## Inter se Priorities among key objectives, success indicators and targets

Objective   Weight   Actions   Success Indicator   Unit   Weight   (80% and above)   Good (70-80%)   Good (60-70%)   (50-60%)   (50-60%)   (50-60%)   (60-70%)   (50-60%)   (5	Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	Column 5	Column 6	Column 7	Column 8	Column 9	Column 10	Column 11
Objective Weight Actions Success Indicator Unit Weight (80% and above)  Objective—1 Clean cities and towns  Action - 2: Segregation of Solid Waste at source Action - 3: Implementation of guidelines issued for MSW Action 4: Implementation of Processing and Treatment of Processing and Treatment of Strategy as given in GoMs  Action 5: Prepare City Sanitation Strategy as given in GoMs  Processing and Treatment of MSW  Action 5: Prepare City Sanitation Strategy as given in GoMs  Poor-to-door collection of house-holds covered source  Now of Solid Waste at source  Processing & Treatment of Action 5: Preparation of Solid Waste and Treated and Treated solid waste or collected and Treated solid waste or collected and Treated and Treated and Treated solid waste or collected solid waste or								Targe	t / Criteria	Value	
Clean cities and towns  Clean cities and towns  Clean cities and towns  Clean cities and towns  Collection of MSW at Solid Waste at Source  Action - 2: Extent of Segregation of Solid Waste at source  Action - 3: Implementation of guidelines issued for MSW  Action 4: Implementation of Processing and Treatment of Preparation of Solid Waste at Source  Action 5: Prepare City Sanitation Strategy as given in GoMs  Collection of Solid Waste covered  Solid Waste at Source  Segregation of Segregation of Solid waste at Source  Solid waste at Source  Source  Segregation of Solid waste at Source  Solid waste at Source  Source  Segregation of Solid waste at Source  Solid waste at Source  Source  Sefficiency of Wo of MSW  Processing and Treated  Solid waste at Source  Source  Source  Sefficiency of Wo of MSW  Processed and Treated  Solid waste at Source  Source  Source  Source  Source  Solid waste at Source  Source  Source  Source  Solid waste at Source  Solid waste at Source  Solid waste at Source  Solid waste Solid waste at Solid waste Solid	Objective	Weight	Actions		Unit	Weight	cellent ( 80% and	Good			Poor (Less than 50%)
Segregation of Solid Waste at source  Action - 3: Implementation of guidelines issued for MSW  Action 4: Implementation of Processing and Treatment MSW  Action 5: Prepare City Sanitation Strategy as given in GoMs  Segregation of solid waste at source  Nouse-holds covered  Nouse-hol	Clean cities and	25	Collection of MSW at	collection of	house-holds	5	100%				
Implementation of guidelines issued for MSW  Action 4: Extent of Implementation of Processing and Treatment MSW  Action 5: Prepare City Sanitation Strategy as given in GoMs Indicate Interest I			Segregation of Solid Waste at	Segregation of solid waste at	house-holds covered	2	100%				
Implementation of Processing & Processed and Treated    Action 5: Preparation of Process by Sanitation Strategy as given in GoMs    Implementation Processing & Processed and Treated    Brocessed and Treated    Date    100%  100%  100%			Implementation of guidelines issued for	transport of municipal solid	municipal solid waste	3	100%				
Prepare City Sanitation Strategy as given in GoMs  CSS by 30/9/2014 and implementation given in GoMs  CSS by 100%			Implementation of Processing	Processing & Treatment of	Processed	5	100%				
Objective – 2 15 Action 1: Collection of al % of 5 100%			Prepare City Sanitation Strategy as given in GoMs 64	CSS by 30/9/2014 and implementation thereafter							

Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	Column 5	Column 6	Column 7	Column 8	Column 9	Column 10	Column 11
							Targe	t / Criteria	Value	
Objective	Weight	Actions	Success Indicator	Unit	Weight	Ex- cellent ( 80% and above)	Very Good (70-80%)	Good (60-70%)	Fair (50-60%)	Poor (Less than 50%)
To strengthen the financial position of the ULBs		Revenue Collection	Taxes and Non taxes	Collection (arrear + current as on April 01, 2014)						
		Action 2: Property Tax (PT) Assessments.	Coverage of Households to PT as compared to the number given in census	% of Coverage	5	100%				
		Action 3: Total collections per capita	Corporations (Rs. 1000; municipalities; municipalities Rs. 800; others Rs. 500)	% collected against norms given in indicator column for per capita	5	100%				
Objective – 3  To make Governance in ULBs Transparent	20	Action 1: Implementation of citizen charter as evaluated from mee seva data	Number disposed within time	% of disposal within time	5	100%				

Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	Column 5	Column 6	Column 7	Column 8	Column 9	Column 10	Column 11
							Targe	t / Criteria	Value	
Objective	Weight	Actions	Success Indicator	Unit	Weight	Ex- cellent ( 80% and above)	Very Good (70-80%)	Good (60-70%)	Fair (50-60%)	Poor (Less than 50%)
and Accountable										
		Action 2: Implementation of mobile governance as given in GoMs 54	Number of activities made accountable vs. total to be made accountable	% of activities made accountable	5	-				
		Action 3: Implementing the SIRA program for mosquito control as given in GOMs.50	a. Number of wards in which SIRA implemented b. Number of outcome indicators showing reduction in all wards	a. Percentage of wards covered. b. Percentage of reduction in malaria, dengue (y-o-y). c. Percentage of wards having	10					

Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	Column 5	Column 6	Column 7	Column 8	Column 9	Column 10	Column 11
							Targe	t / Criteria	Value	
Objective	Weight	Actions	Success Indicator	Unit	Weight	Ex- cellent ( 80% and above)	Very Good (70-80%)	Good (60-70%)	Fair (50-60%)	Poor (Less than 50%)
				mosquito density below norms						
Objective – 4  To Facilitate Participatory and Consultative Development	10	Action 1: Participation of Ward Committees and Area Sabhas as prescribed in GO NO. 1 in SWM	Number Covered a. Wards b. Areas	a. % of wards covered b. % of areas covered	2	100%				
		Action 2: Organization of the Poor -	a. Organization of Poor into Self Help Groups b. Number of street vendors given identity cards vs. total	a.% of Urban Poor House- holds, as compared to census information, covered b. % given identity	4	100%				

Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	Column 5	Column 6	Column 7	Column 8	Column 9	Column 10	Column 11
							Targe	t / Criteria	Value	
Objective	Weight	Actions	Success Indicator	Unit	Weight	Ex- cellent ( 80% and above)	Very Good (70-80%)	Good (60-70%)	Fair (50-60%)	Poor (Less than 50%)
				cards						
		Action 3: Development of Parks and Play grounds according to GO Ms No. 68	No of Parks and Play grounds to be developed under Community Participation – half of the open spaces in city.	% of Parks/ Play- grounds developed out of target	2	-				
		Action 4:  Maintenance of Community Toilets, Sports complexes, parks by ward committees with Community Participation as in GoMs 1	All to be maintained	% of community toilets, sports complexes and parks maintained	2					
Objective 5	10	Action 1:	Number of	% of DOCs	2					

Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	Column 5	Column 6	Column 7	Column 8	Column 9	Column 10	Column 11
							Targe	t / Criteria	Value	
Objective	Weight	Actions	Success Indicator	Unit	Weight	Ex- cellent ( 80% and above)	Very Good (70-80%)	Good (60-70%)	Fair (50-60%)	Poor (Less than 50%)
To improve Capacities of ULB		Preparation of DOCs for the ULB as given in GOMs 73	DOC s prepared vs. to be prepared	prepared						
Functionaries and Stakeholders		Action 2: Preparation of Training Needs Assessment for all functionaries	Number of functionaries assessed vs. total to be assessed	% whose needs assessed	3	-				
		Action 3: Training of ULB functionaries by NIUM	10% of Municipal functionaries to be trained by March 2015	% of Municipal Functionarie s Trained.	3	100%				
		Action 4: Training of Ward Committee members and area sabha representatives	100% to be trained by March 2015	% Trained.	2	-				
Objective – 6 To improve civic	10	Action 1: Making neighborhoods	At least ten in corporations and five in	% made compliant	2	-				

Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	Column 5	Column 6	Column 7	Column 8	Column 9	Column 10	Column 11
							Targe	t / Criteria	Value	
Objective	Weight	Actions	Success Indicator	Unit	Weight	Ex- cellent ( 80% and above)	Very Good (70-80%)	Good (60-70%)	Fair (50-60%)	Poor (Less than 50%)
infrastructure		LEED –ND compliant	municipalities and one in nagar panchayats							
		Action 2: Implementation of UIG/UIDSSM	Utilization of Funds	% of funds utilized	2	100%				
		Action 3: Imple- mentation of BSUP/ IHSDP	Utilization of Funds	% of funds utilized	2	98%				
		Action 4: Provision of TIF as in GOMs 79	At least one project to be implemented	% of funds utilized	2	100%				
		Action 5: Provision of Urban In- frastructure with state grants.	Utilization of Funds	% of funds utilized	2	100%				
Objective – 7 Inclusive	10	Action 1: Earmarking of funds for	Utilization of funds earmarked in	% of earmarked funds	4	100%				

Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	Column 5	Column 6	Column 7	Column 8	Column 9	Column 10	Column 11
						Target / Criteria Value				
Objective	Weight	Actions	Success Indicator	Unit	Weight	Ex- cellent ( 80% and above)	Very Good (70-80%)	Good (60-70%)	Fair (50-60%)	Poor (Less than 50%)
Development		Urban Slums	the Budget	utilized						
		Action 2: Earmarking of Funds for development of SC/ST areas.	Utilization of funds earmarked in the Budget	% of earmarked funds utilized	4	100%				
		Action 3: a. Earmarking of Funds for welfare of differently abled persons b. Construction of night shelters	a.Utilization of funds earmarked under SJSRY / RYK b. Number of homeless as in census given homes in night shelters	a.% of earmarked funds utilized b. % homed in night shelters	2	100%				

Dr. SAMEER SHARMA
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
& COMMISSIONER, UPA